UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,376	09/20/2005	Hiroshi Sawada	JCLA16514	4576
J. C. Patents	7590 08/11/200	99	EXAM	IINER
Suite 250			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M	
4 Venture Irvine, CA 9261	18		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/529,376	SAWADA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Samuel M. Heinrich	3742		

	Samuel M. Heinrich	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ().	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a simple of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a simple of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a simple of the proposed amendment of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a simple of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a simple of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a simple of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a simple of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a simple of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a simple of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a simple of the proposed amendment of	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		i be entered and an e.	cplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Samuel M Heinrich/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3742	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed July 29, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that both JP06212451 and JP06198466 disclose forming an interference fringe on a metal surface which has been heat treated in the presence of a reactive gas and then a laser pulse causes an interference fringe to be formed on the metal surface by ablation. These arguments are not convincing. The limitations of Applicant's claims are not drawn to use of a gas and these reference features are not relied upon. Both references describe [0016] surface melting and evaporation and the formation of surface unevenness configured as a diffraction grating, i.e., a spontaneous formation of periodic structure..